

Michael P. Kenny, Esq. (admitted *pro hac vice*)
mike.kenny@alston.com
Debra D. Bernstein, Esq. (admitted *pro hac vice*)
debra.bernstein@alston.com
Matthew D. Kent, Esq. (admitted *pro hac vice*)
matthew.kent@alston.com

ALSTON & BIRD LLP

1201 West Peachtree Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3424
Tel: (404) 881-7000
Facsimile: (404) 881-7777

James M. Wagstaffe, Esq. (SBN 95535)
wagstaffe@kerrwagstaffe.com

KERR & WAGSTAFFE LLP

101 Mission Street, 18th Floor
San Francisco, California 94105-1576
Tel: (415) 371-8500
Facsimile: (415) 371-0500

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Dell Inc. and Dell Products L.P.

[Additional Counsel Listed on Accompanying Motion]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Electrograph Sys. v. Hitachi, Ltd., No. 11-cv-01656;
Electrograph Sys., Inc. v. Technicolor SA, No. 13-cv-05724;
Siegel v. Hitachi, Ltd., No. 11-cv-05502;
Siegel v. Technicolor SA, No. 13-cv-05261;
Best Buy Co. v. Hitachi, Ltd., No. 11-cv-05513;
Best Buy Co. v. Technicolor SA, No. 13-cv-05264;
Interbond Corp. of Am. v. Hitachi, Ltd., No. 11-cv-

Master File No. 3:07-cv-05944-SC

MDL No. 1917

Denying as moot

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION PURSUANT TO L.R. 7-11
FOR EXCESS PAGES**

Judge: Hon. Samuel Conti

06275;
Interbond Corp. of Am. v. Technicolor SA., No. 13-cv-05727;
Office Depot, Inc. v. Hitachi Ltd., No. 11-cv-06276;
CompuCom Sys., Inc. v. Hitachi, Ltd., No. 11-cv-06396;
P.C. Richard & Son Long Island Corp. v. Hitachi, Ltd., No. 12-cv-02648;
P.C. Richard & Son Long Island Corp. v. Technicolor SA., No. 13-cv-05725;
Schultze Agency Servs., LLC v. Hitachi, Ltd., No. 12-cv-02649;
Tech Data Corp. v. Hitachi, Ltd., No. 13-cv-00157;
Dell Inc. and Dell Products L.P., v. Hitachi, Ltd., No. 13-cv-02171;
Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart Corp. v. Technicolor SA, No. 13-cv-05262;
Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., No. 11-cv-05514;
Sharp Elecs. Corp. v. Hitachi, Ltd., No. 13-cv-1173 SC;
Sharp Elecs. Corp. v. Koninklijke Philips Elecs., N.V., No. 13-cv-2776 SC;
ViewSonic Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., No. 14-02510;
All Indirect Purchaser Actions.

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Upon consideration of the Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion Pursuant to L.R. 7-11 for Leave for Excess Pages ("Administrative Motion"), it is hereby: Denied as moot

ORDERED that the Administrative Motion is ~~GRANTED~~; and it is further

~~ORDERED that Plaintiffs shall file a single, consolidated Opposition not to exceed thirty-five (35) pages to the (1) Koninklijke Philips N.V. Motion for Summary Judgment (MDL Dkt. #3040); and Philips Electronics North America Corporation, Philips Taiwan Limited, and Philips Do Brasil Ltda.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (MDL Dkt. #3027).~~

Dated: January 13, 2015, 2014



The Honorable Samuel Conti
Northern District of California